

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/909,988	07/23/2001	Shigeru Tanaka	Q64671 1372	
7590 08/25/2005			EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			PRONE, JASON D	
	ania Avenue, NW			D. DUD. 144.40.00
Washington, DC 20037-3213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Q}}$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/909,988	TANAKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jason Prone	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ju	ne 2005.					
•						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,7,8,10 and 23-26</u> is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>26</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,7,8,10,23 and 24</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>25</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive	on No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	∤d.				
Attaches antich	•					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/909,988

Art Unit: 3724

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 7, 8, 10, and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- Claims 1 and 10 recite the limitation "the receiving element" on lines 14-15 and
   11-12 respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 4. In regards to claim 23, the phrase "a receiving element" is unclear. On lines 1415, claim 1 recites the term "the receiving element". It is uncertain if the receiving element structure of claim 23 is the same structure as the receiving element structure of claim 1.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1, 3, 7, 10, 23, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wakai et al. (4,442,493) in view of Kiyohara et al. (6,302,602) and further in view of Saito et al. (5,787,778). In regards to claims 1, 3, 7, and 10, Wakai et al. discloses the invention including an apparatus of estimating a lifetime of a cutter

Application/Control Number: 09/909,988

Art Unit: 3724

(Abstract), a detector for detecting a value of a current loaded on the motor, a comparator (62), an output element (Column 3 third paragraph), the comparator determines if the cutter is unfit for use (Column 3 third paragraph), the comparator is in a microcomputer (18), and a motor driving the cutter (22). The examiner notes that current is measured in amps and an ammeter is used to measure amps therefore it is inherent that an ammeter would be present.

However Wakai et al. fail to disclose a fixed blade, a movable blade that is movable along the fixed blade, a receiving element that is movable together with the movable blade, a support for supporting the movable blade and a support for supporting the receiving element, the supports are integral with one another, the movable blade comprises a rotatably supported disk, and the receiving element comprises a roller which is rotatably supported.

Kiyohara et al. teaches a fixed blade (94), a movable blade that is movable along the fixed blade (42), a receiving element that is movable together with the movable blade (82), a support for supporting the movable blade (80) and a support for supporting the receiving element (80), and that the supports are integral with one another (Fig. 7). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have provided Wakai et al. with the cutting apparatus, at taught by Kiyohara et al., to allow for the cutting of a flexible web.

Saito et al. teaches the movable blade comprises a rotatably supported disk (3), and the receiving element comprises a roller which is rotatably supported (13).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of

Application/Control Number: 09/909,988 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

the invention, to have provided Wakai et al. in view of Kiyohara et al. with a rotatably supported disk and roller associated with the receiving element, at taught by Saito et al., to reduce friction.

7. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wakai et al. in view of Kiyohara et al. and further in view of Saito et al. as applied to claim 1 above. Wakai et al., Kiyohara et al., and Saito et al. disclose the invention but fail to disclose the use of a visual display, however, official notice is taken that the use of an output element comprising a visual display is old and well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have provided Wakai et al. in view of Kiyohara et al. with an output element comprising a visual display for an easier way of seeing the output element.

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claim 26 is allowed.
- 9. Claim 25 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. The Examiner notes that in the Office actions dated 29 July 2005 and 23

  December 2003, state claim 25 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. However, in the amendment to claims section of the response dated 29 November 2004, the dependency of claim 25 off of claim 23 was amended to be dependent off of claim 1. The amendment went

Application/Control Number: 09/909,988

Art Unit: 3724

unnoticed by the examiner, hence the antecedent basis rejection above. The subject matter of claim 25, as stated in the Office action dated 10 March 2005 was incorrectly labeled as allowable subject matter, hence the art rejection above. The subject matter added to claim 1 would be allowable if the subject matter of claim 23 is also added to claim 1.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Prone whose telephone number is (571) 272-4513. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00, Mon - (every other) Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on (571) 272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

August 22, 2005

Allan N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700